

## Inflation in Sierra Leone: An Empirical Analysis of the Impact of Interest Rate on Price Level Changes

Ibrahim Abdulhamid Danlami<sup>1</sup>, Mohamad Helmi Bin Hidthiir<sup>2</sup>, Sallahuddin Hassan<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Social Science and Administration, School of Continuing Education, Bayero University, Kano, Nigeria,

<sup>1</sup>E-mail: [ibwaffa@yahoo.com](mailto:ibwaffa@yahoo.com) (Corresponding author)

<sup>2,3</sup>School of Economics Finance and Banking, Universiti Utara Malaysia,

<sup>2</sup>E-mail: [m.helmi@uum.edu.my](mailto:m.helmi@uum.edu.my), <sup>3</sup>E-mail: [din636@uum.edu.my](mailto:din636@uum.edu.my)

**Abstract** This research intends to investigate the impact of interest rate on inflation in Sierra Leone. The research used of Autoregressive Distributed Lag model (ARDL) on time series Data, for the period 1970 – 2016. The results show that interest rate is inflationary in both the short-run and long-run as its positively and significantly influence inflation in the two periods which is in conformity with the arguments of the fiscal policy supporters but contrary to the arguments of the monetary policy supporters. The findings, of the study, are limited to the 1970 – 2016-time series data of Sierra Leone used as well as the econometric method of data analysis adopted – ARDL. The research's findings imply that interest rate in Sierra Leone is inflationary. Increase in the rate of interest will lead to an increase in the inflation rate. Therefore interest rates should be adjusted with caution, and it also implies that fiscal policy will be very effective in converting inflation in the country. The research attempted to investigate the nature of the effect of interest rate on inflation. As it could be inflationary (as claimed by fiscalist) or disinflationary (as claimed by monetarist) which the empirical results accord the arguments of the fiscal policy supporters in both the short-run and long-run having a positive influence on inflation significantly.

**Key words** ARDL, Fiscal policy, Inflation, Interest rate, Sierra Leone

**JEL Codes:** E31, E40

© 2018 Published by Dimitrie Cantemir Christian University/Universitara Publishing House.

(This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

### 1. Introduction

The nature of the effect of interest rate on inflation globally is not yet settled. The theoretical account of this argument (effect of interest rate on inflation) is conflicting. The supporters of monetary policy – the monetarist – perceived interest rate to have inverse effects on inflation. Whereas, those who support fiscal policy – the fiscalist – argued that the nature of the effect of interest rate on inflation is direct and positive. The reports from empirical findings by researchers are more conflicting, because, in addition to positive and negative effects of the interest rate on inflation reported, some researchers also reported the insignificant effect of interest rate on inflation.

Sierra Leone is among the countries located in West Africa and a member of Commonwealth West African countries. It has been having high rate inflation and high rate of lending interest rate for many decades. An inflation rate is high if the rate is above nine percent in another word if it is double-digit (Babalola *et al.*, 2015; Phiri, 2012; Anwar and Islam, 2011; Risso and Sanchez-Carrera, 2009). Observing the trend of the inflation rate, in the form of consumer price index, in the country for the period 1970 – 2016, Figure 1 is presented for this purpose. Inflation rate remains high in Sierra Leone for the majority of the periods observed because out of the 47 years, only nine years recorded single-digit inflation rates, as depicted in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Inflation rate in Sierra Leone 1970 – 2016

Meanwhile, Figure 2 observed the trend of interest rate in the country. The Figure indicates that from 1970–1975 the rate is more of stagnant and it started trending upward from 1976 and read it peak in 1992. The downward trend started in 1993 up to 1994, and it maintains steady fluctuations to last year observed which is the year 2016, could that be one of the reasons why Sierra Leone unable to maintain single-digit inflation rate?




Figure 2. Interest rate in Sierra Leone 1970 – 2016

In applying stabilization policies to find remedies on inflation, interest rate plays a significant role in both monetary and fiscal policies with different nature of effect on inflation as mentioned earlier. This research intends to investigate the impact of interest rate on inflation in Sierra Leone. The rest of the research is as follows: Section two reviews the related literature on the nature of the effect of interest rate on inflation whereas section three presents the methodology used in the study. Section four presents the research's findings and discussions while section five concludes the study.

## 2. Literature review

There are numbers of empirical studies on the effects of interest rates on inflation rates, but their findings are inconclusive. Some studies reported positive or direct influence such as Bayo (2011), Adu and Marbuah (2011), Jalil *et al.*, (2013), Hossain and Islam (2013) and Nguyen (2014) while some reported inverse or negative influence such as Anari and Kolari (2016) and Sola and Peter (2013) and a lot of studies reported insignificant of interest rates influence on inflation rates dynamics Shamsul-Alam and Kamath (1986), Al-Khazali (1999), Odusanya and Atanda (2010), Adebisi (2009), Aliyu and Englama (2009), Hossain and Islam (2013), Kumapayi *et al.* (2012), Maku and Adelowokan (2013) and Ndidi (2013).

Bayo (2011) (applied OLS on log-linear model) on Nigerian data and stressed that interest rates positively influence inflation rates. Adu and Marbuah (2011) (using ARDL, FMOLS, and DOLS) on Ghanaian data affirmed the existence of positive effects of interest rates on inflation rates both in the short run and long run. Jalil *et al.* (2013) (using ARDL) signified the positive effects of interest rates on inflation rate in both the short-run and long-run in Pakistan. Nguyen (2014) studied Asian economies (using PMG and GMM) and confirmed that interest rate is positively influencing the inflation rate in both the short run and the long run. Hossain and Islam (2013) studied Bangladesh economy (using OLS) affirmed that one year lagged value of interest rate positively influences inflation rate. Among the studies that discovered inverse effects of interest rates on inflation rates include: Anari and Kolari (2016) (using VAR) on United States data and tested dynamic relationships between interest rates inflation rates using Fisher and Wicksell process. The Fisher process is a positive relationship between the variables with inflation causing interest rates while Wicksell process is a negative relationship with causality going from interest rates to inflation and from their findings the two theories hold. Also, Sola and Peter (2013) (using VAR and GC) on data from Nigeria affirmed that interest rates Granger Causes inflation rates and affects it inversely.

Shamsul-Alam and Kamath (1986) studied developing economies (using a log-linear model derived from money demand and supply equations) affirmed that interest rate is insignificant in explaining inflation rate dynamics. Al-Khazali (1999) (using VAR and GC) maintained that interest rates are insignificant in explaining inflation rates and there are no causalities among them in the Pacific-Basin countries. Adebisi (2009) (using VAR) and Odusanya and Atanda (2010) (using VECM) both studies discovered that interest rate is insignificant in explaining inflation rates dynamics and in the latter both in the short run and long run. Aliyu and Englama (2009) (using Bayesian VAR, GC and IRF) on data from Nigeria, showed that interest rate is insignificant in explaining inflation rates dynamics, no causality between them and the response of inflation rate to interest rate shocks is weak.

Hossain and Islam (2013) studied Bangladesh economy (using OLS) affirmed that current interest rate is insignificant in explaining inflation rate dynamics. Kumapayi *et al.* (2012) and Maku and Adelewokan (2013) (using OLS) affirmed that interest rate is insignificant in explaining inflation rate dynamics in Nigeria. Iyaji *et al.* (2012) (using classical least squares) on Nigerian data confirmed that interest rate is insignificant in explaining inflation rate dynamics. Finally, Ndidi (2013) (using VECM) on Nigerian data stated that interest rate is insignificant in explaining inflation rate dynamics. The review of the related studies disclosed that there are inconsistencies regarding findings the various researchers in different areas on the nature of the effect of interest rate on inflation. Therefore, a study in one area cannot be used or generalized to all areas or countries. This stressed the need for more empirical studies on the effect of interest rate on inflation to be conducted in areas or countries where such studies are inadequate. As knowing the nature of the effect of interest rate on inflation will guide policymakers on which of the stabilization policies (monetary or fiscal policy) is more appropriate to their country.

### 3. Methodology of research

*Source of Data:* Data used in this research, were sourced from world development indicators (WDI) of the World Bank (WB) for the entire periods of study 1970 – 2016. Consumer price index (CPI) in this study is a proxy for inflation for Sierra Leone. While Broad Money is a proxy for money supply in the economy, and Gross Domestic Products (GDP) is a measure of economic growth whereas the lending interest rate is the interest rate of the country. All data are in logarithm form for uniformity of interpretations and easy measurements.

*Econometric Techniques:* The study makes use of the Autoregressive Distributed Lag Model (ARDL) as the econometric techniques for thoroughly analyzing both the short-run and long-run effects of the interest rate on inflation.

*Theoretical Framework:* This study will best be understood using the Keynesian theory of inflation. The study utilized liquidity preference Equation to derive the inflation function with inflation as the dependent variable and interest rate as one of the independent variables among others.

$$\frac{M_t}{P} = \alpha Y^{\beta_1} r^{\beta_2} \tag{1}$$

Where:  $M_t$  is money demand,  $P$  is price level,  $Y$  is the national income and  $r$  is the rate of interest while  $\beta$  are just coefficients. The equation is just showing that the total real money demand is being influenced by the level of income in the economy and the interest rate.

Equation 1 is transformed by taking the log of both sides, and substituting  $M_t$  with  $M_2$  and making  $P$  the subject of the formula to derive Equation 2 which is as follows:

$$\log P = \log M_2 - \log \alpha - \beta_1 \log Y - \beta_2 \log r \tag{2}$$

Note that 'log  $\alpha$ ' is constant. Therefore the final inflation function is presented in Equation 3.

$$INF = f(M_2, Y, r) \tag{3}$$

Where: all variables as defined in the previous Equations.

*Model Specification:* Equation 3 can be presented in an econometric form/function as follows:

$$IINF_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 IM_{2,t} + \beta_2 IGDP_t + \beta_3 lr + \delta t + \varepsilon_t \tag{4}$$

where  $IINF$  is a log of inflation,  $IM_2$  is a log of the money supply,  $IGDP$  is a log of  $GDP$  representing the level of economic growth 'Y',  $lr$  is a log of lending interest rate,  $\beta_i$  and  $\delta$  are parameters/coefficients of the variables and time trend,  $t$  is time signifies time series data to check the effect of time on inflation (time trend) and  $\varepsilon$  is the error term.

The ARDL function is presented in Equation (v) as follows:

$$\Delta IINF_t = \beta_0 + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_1 \Delta IM_{2,t-k} + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_2 \Delta IGDP_{t-k} + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_3 \Delta lr_{t-k} + \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_4 \Delta IINF_{t-k} + \alpha_1 IM_{2,t-1} + \alpha_2 IY_{t-1} + \alpha_3 lr_{t-1} + \alpha_4 IINF_{t-1} + \delta t + \varepsilon_t \tag{5}$$

Where  $\alpha_i$  are long-run coefficients and  $\beta_i$  short-run coefficients, the rest as defined in the previous Equations.

The short run and long run equations are presented in Equation (vi) and (vii) as follows:

$$\Delta LINF_t = \beta_0 + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_1 \Delta LM_{2,t-k} + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_2 \Delta LGDP_{t-k} + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_3 \Delta lr_{t-k} + \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_4 \Delta LINF_{t-k} + \delta t + \vartheta_0 ECT_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \quad (6)$$

Where *ECT* is the error correction term,  $v_0$  is the speed of adjustment, the rest of the variables as defined in the previous Equations.

$$LINF_t = \alpha_0 + \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_1 LM_{2,t} + \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_2 LGDP_t + \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_3 lr + \sum_{k=0}^n \delta t + \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_4 LINF_t + \varepsilon_t \quad (7)$$

All as defined in the previous Equations.

#### 4. Results and Discussions of Findings

This section presents the results of the estimation and discusses the findings of the study. The descriptive statistics are presented in table 1 while Table 2 presents the correlation analysis of the variables used in the study. It is observed from table 1 that the average inflation rate is approximately 2.92 while that of interest rate is 2.97. The average money supply is approximately 23.67, and that of GDP is 20.86. All variables are in logarithm form.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of the variables

|              | LINF     | LR       | LM <sub>2</sub> | LGDP     |
|--------------|----------|----------|-----------------|----------|
| Mean         | 2.915139 | 2.974680 | 23.65633        | 20.85845 |
| Median       | 2.811522 | 3.044522 | 24.45696        | 20.68320 |
| Maximum      | 5.185710 | 4.140486 | 29.43868        | 22.33573 |
| Minimum      | 0.737277 | 2.079442 | 17.58251        | 19.88950 |
| Std. Dev.    | 1.004508 | 0.529194 | 3.940773        | 0.659230 |
| Skewness     | 0.304762 | 0.051043 | -0.090117       | 0.834893 |
| Kurtosis     | 2.483666 | 2.764080 | 1.573480        | 2.737683 |
| Jarque-Bera  | 1.143299 | 0.118393 | 3.704167        | 5.118780 |
| Probability  | 0.564593 | 0.942521 | 0.156910        | 0.077352 |
| Sum          | 125.3510 | 127.9112 | 1017.222        | 896.9134 |
| Sum Sq. Dev. | 42.37952 | 11.76196 | 652.2472        | 18.25253 |
| Observations | 43       | 43       | 43              | 43       |

While the correlation analysis presented in table 2 shows that the highest absolute value of the correlation is between money supply and GDP which is 0.75, the lowest absolute value of the correlation is between inflation and money supply which is 0.25.

Table 2. Correlation analysis

| Correlation Probability | LINF                | LR                 | LM <sub>2</sub>    | LGDP             |
|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| LINF                    | 1.000000<br>----    |                    |                    |                  |
| LR                      | 0.435404<br>0.0035  | 1.000000<br>----   |                    |                  |
| LM <sub>2</sub>         | -0.250161<br>0.1057 | 0.593367<br>0.0000 | 1.000000<br>----   |                  |
| LGDP                    | -0.443527<br>0.0029 | 0.139075<br>0.3738 | 0.752330<br>0.0000 | 1.000000<br>---- |

Unit root test

Unit root test result is presented in table 3, which shows that all variable are stationary at first difference using both Augmented Dickey-Fuller test (ADF) and Philips-Peron test (PP).

Table 3. Unit Root test results

| Variables       | ADF                | ADF                 | PP                 | PP                  |
|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
|                 | Level              | 1st Difference      | Level              | 1st Difference      |
| IINF            | -2.245215 (0.1945) | -7.231565* (0.0000) | -2.161227 (0.2232) | -7.367264* (0.0000) |
| IM <sub>2</sub> | -1.13798 (0.9107)  | -3.567428* (0.0442) | -1.204623 (0.8977) | -3.437983* (0.0146) |
| IY              | -1.692213 (0.7387) | -5.539304* (0.0002) | -1.762758 (0.7064) | -5.738194* (0.0001) |
| Ir              | -1.788302 (0.3815) | -5.858674* (0.0000) | -1.826855 (0.3632) | -5.860870* (0.0000) |

Source: Authors' 2018; Notes: \* represents statistically significant at 5 percent level.

Bound Test

Bound test conducted shows the existence of long-run relationships between the dependent variable in one hand and the independent variables on the other hand. Having obtained the result of F-Statistics to be 6.340110 which is above the critical values of I(0) as 4.01 and I(1) as 5.07 at five percent level of significance. This result is from ARDL estimation presented in Table 4, which is also used for the Wald test.

Table 4. ARDL (1, 2, 2, 0) Estimation using HQ Criteria

| Variable           | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob.  |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|--------|
| LINF(-1)           | 0.120676    | 0.168947   | 0.714285    | 0.4810 |
| LR                 | 1.174209*   | 0.435581   | 2.695727    | 0.0117 |
| LR(-1)             | -0.729829   | 0.609845   | -1.196745   | 0.2414 |
| LR(-2)             | 0.864655    | 0.434254   | 1.991129    | 0.0563 |
| LM2                | 0.106969    | 0.669269   | 0.159830    | 0.8742 |
| LM2(-1)            | 0.112671    | 0.947563   | 0.118906    | 0.9062 |
| LM2(-2)            | -1.312759*  | 0.591212   | -2.220453   | 0.0347 |
| LGDP               | -0.967599*  | 0.363763   | -2.659968   | 0.0128 |
| C                  | 37.15222*   | 11.56143   | 3.213462    | 0.0033 |
| @TREND             | 0.307144*   | 0.093767   | 3.275620    | 0.0028 |
| R-squared          | 0.881346    |            |             |        |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.843208    |            |             |        |

Notes: \* represents statistically significant at 5 percent level.

Wald test

The result of the Wald test conducted is presented in table 5. The result has indicated that all coefficients of the independent variable combined have a significant effect on inflation. Also, from the result, the coefficients of the variables individually, only that of the previous inflation rate has no effect on the current inflation whereas other variables such as money supply, GDP interest rate, constant and even time trend have a significant individual effect on inflation in Sierra Leone.

Table 5. Wald test results

| Null Hypothesis                       | F-statistics | Prob   | Result          |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------|-----------------|
| All coefficients are zero             | 278.8184**   | 0.0000 | Reject the null |
| IINF coefficients are zero            | 0.714285     | 0.4810 | They are Zero   |
| IM <sub>2</sub> coefficients are zero | 7.704222**   | 0.0007 | Reject the null |
| IGDP coefficients are zero            | 7.075431*    | 0.0128 | Reject the null |
| Ir coefficients are zero              | 5.571773**   | 0.0040 | Reject the null |
| Constant is zero                      | 10.32634**   | 0.0033 | Reject the null |
| Time Trend                            | 10.72968**   | 0.0028 | Reject the null |

Notes: \*\*\* represent a statistically significant coefficient at one percent, while \*\* represents statistically significant at 5 percent level.

The results of the short-run and long-run of the ARDL estimation

In this section, table 6 presents the results of the short-run and long-run ARDL estimations. During the short-run, the current value of interest rate is inflationary as it significantly and positively influences inflation in Sierra Leone. For instant, a

one percent increase in interest rate will lead to an increase in the rate of inflation by approximately 1.2 percent. This is in conformity with the arguments of fiscal policy supporters. The lag value of interest rate and the current value of money supply are insignificant in explaining the fluctuation of inflation rate in Sierra Leone during the short-run whereas the first-year lag value of money supply is inflationary and significantly influences inflation during the short-run in the country. The coefficient of GDP is also significant and its' inversely influences inflation in the country during the short-run. The speed of adjustment toward long-run equilibrium is about 87.93 percent, and it is highly significant at one percent level.

During the long-run, the interest rate is significant and positively influencing inflation in Sierra Leone as it is in the short-run. Specifically, a one percent increase in the rate of interest will lead to an increase in the rate of inflation by approximately 1.49 percent. All other variables are also significant in explaining inflation in Sierra Leone during the long-run though with money supply having an inverse influence on inflation. The negativity nature of the influence of money supply on inflation could be due to the argument of Keynesian inflation theory whose implies that in an economy, where resources are not fully utilized and where involuntary unemployment exist increase in money supply will not generate inflation but rather expand the level of output and the level of employment.

Table 6. The results of short-run and long-run ARDL (1, 2, 2, 0) estimation

| Variable                 | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob.  |
|--------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|--------|
| <b>Short-run Results</b> |             |            |             |        |
| D(LR)                    | 1.174209*   | 0.435581   | 2.695727    | 0.0117 |
| D(LR(-1))                | -0.864655   | 0.434254   | -1.991129   | 0.0563 |
| D(LM2)                   | 0.106969    | 0.669269   | 0.159830    | 0.8742 |
| D(LM2(-1))               | 1.312759*   | 0.591212   | 2.220453    | 0.0347 |
| D(LGDP)                  | -0.967599*  | 0.363763   | -2.659968   | 0.0128 |
| D(@TREND())              | 0.307144*   | 0.093767   | 3.275620    | 0.0028 |
| CointEq(-1)              | -0.879324*  | 0.168947   | -5.204725   | 0.0000 |
| <b>Long-run Results</b>  |             |            |             |        |
| LR                       | 1.488683*   | 0.311022   | 4.786422    | 0.0000 |
| LM2                      | -1.243136*  | 0.289634   | -4.292090   | 0.0002 |
| LGDP                     | -1.100390*  | 0.360845   | -3.049482   | 0.0050 |
| C                        | 42.250904*  | 11.003911  | 3.839626    | 0.0006 |
| @TREND                   | 0.349295*   | 0.090527   | 3.858470    | 0.0006 |

Notes: \* represents statistically significant at 5 percent level.

Post-estimation diagnostic checks

To check the reliability and stability of the estimated model, various tests were conducted which are post-estimation diagnostic checks, and their respective results are as follows:

- Serial Correlation test: the result of Breusch-Godfrey Serial Correlation LM indicated that the model is free from serial correlation, having the result of F-Statistics 0.991977 with a probability of 0.3281.
- Heteroskedasticity test: the result of Breusch Pagan-Godfrey heteroskedasticity test conducted shows that the variance of the error term of the estimated model is constant and desired homoskedastic with an F-Statistic value of 0.893345 and probability of 0.5435.
- Normality test: the estimated Jarque-Bera statistics with a value of 1.873614 and probability value 0.3919 signifies that the errors of the estimated model are normally distributed.




Figure 3. Jarque-Bera normality test



- Stability of the model: Figure 4 and Figure 5 presents the stability sketch of the estimated model, and they show that the model is dynamically stable as indicated by the upper and lower ridge lines of Cusum and Cusum of squares




Figure 4. CUSUM stability indicator




Figure 5. CUSUM of squares stability indicator

## 5. Conclusions

Having estimated the model and conducted post-estimation diagnostics checks which the results reveal that the estimated model is highly dynamically stable and is free from serial correlation as well as free from heteroskedasticity problem and also having the errors normally distributed. Therefore, the model fits with the analysis. The results of the estimated model reveal that interest rate is inflationary both in the short-run and long-run with significant positive influence on inflation, though the lag value of interest rate, during the short-run, has no significant influence on inflation. Also, in the same short-run, the previous inflation rate is insignificant in explaining the changes in the current rate of inflation. In the same period, the current money supply is insignificant in explaining the changes of inflation in Sierra Leone whereas the lag value of money supply is inflationary with significant positive effects on inflation, though the long-run money supply is significant but with an inverse effect on inflation. GDP is significantly disinflationary in both the short-run and long-run. The short-run speed of adjustment towards long-run equilibrium is around 87.93 percent, and it is highly significant. Therefore, the research concludes that the interest rate effect on inflation during the short-run and long-run in Sierra Leone is positive.

## References

- Adebiyi, M. A. (2009). Inflation targeting: Can we establish a stable and predictable relationship between inflation and monetary instruments in Nigeria and Ghana? *Applied Econometric and Macroeconometric Modelling in Nigeria*, 211 – 239.
- Aliyu, S. U. R. & Englama, A. (2009). Is Nigeria ready for inflation targeting? Munich Personal RePEc Archive. MPRA Paper No. 14870. available at <http://mpa.ub.uni-muenchen.de/14870/> Retrieved 01.12.2016
- Al-Khazali, O. (1999). Nominal interest rates and inflation in the Pacific-Basin countries, *Management Decision*, 37(6) 491 – 498.
- Anari, A. & Kolari, J. (2016). Dynamics of interest and inflation rates, *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 39(2016) 129 – 144.
- Anwar, S. & Islam, I. (2011). *Should developing countries target low, single-digit inflation to promote growth and employment?* International Labour Organisation, Employment Working Papers No. 87, International Labour Office, Geneva 22.
- Adu, G. & Marbuah, G. (2011). Determinants of inflation in Ghana: An empirical investigation, *South African Journal of Economics (SAJE)*, 79(3) 251 – 269.
- Babalola, O. O., Danladi, J. D., Akomolafe, K. J. & Ajiboye, O. P. (2015). Inflation, interest rates and economic growth in Nigeria. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 7(30), 91 – 102.
- Bayo, F. (2011). Determinants of inflation in Nigeria: An empirical analysis. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 1(18), 262 – 271.
- Hossain, M. T. & Islam, N. (2013). An economic analysis of the determinants of inflation in Bangladesh, *The International Journal of Social Sciences*, 11(1) 29 – 36.
- Jalil, A., Tariq, R. & Bibi, N. (2013). Fiscal deficit and inflation: New evidence from Pakistan using a bounds testing approach, *Economic Modelling*, 37(2014) 120 – 126.
- Kumapayi, A. A., Nana J. U. & Ohwofasa, B. O (2012). Impact of inflation on monetary policy and economic developments in Nigeria 1980 – 2010. Evidence from empirical data, *Asian Journal of Empirical Research*, 2(2) 28 – 39.
- Maku, A. O. & Adelowokan, O. A. (2013). Dynamics of inflation in Nigeria: An autoregressive approach. *European Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 22(1), 1174 - 1184.
- Ndidi, D. E. (2013). Determinants of inflation in Nigeria (1970 – 2010), *The Business and Management Review*, 3(2) 106 – 114.
- Nguyen, V. B. (2014). Effects of fiscal deficit and money M2 supply on inflation: Evidence from selected economies of Asia. *Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Science* 20, 49 – 53.
- Odusanya, I. A. & Atanda, A. A. (2010). *An analysis of inflation and its determinants in Nigeria*, Munich Personal RePEc Archive (MPRA), MPRA Paper No. 35837, available at [www.mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/35837](http://www.mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/35837) retrieved on 28/12/2016.
- Phiri, A. (2012). Threshold effects and inflation persistence in South Africa, *Journal of Financial Economic Policy*, 4(3) 247 – 269.
- Risso, W. A. & Sanchez-Carrera E. J. (2009). Inflation and Mexican economic growth: long-run relation and threshold effects. *Journal of Financial Economic Policy* 1(3) 246 – 263.
- Shamsul-Alam, A. K. M. & Kamath, S. J. (1986). Models and forecasts of inflation in developing economy, *Journal of Economic Studies*, 13(4) 3 – 29.
- Sola, O. & Peter, A. (2013). Money supply and inflation in Nigeria: Implications for national development, *Scientific Research (Modern Economy)*, 4(3) 161 – 170.